



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/494,801	01/31/2000	Arthur L. Gaudette	INTL-0314-US(P7997)	3975	
. 75	90 06/23/2003				
Timothy N Trop TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C 8554 Katy Freeway Suite 100 Houston, TX 77024			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			DETWILER	DETWILER, BRIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	15	
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/494,801	GAUDETTE, ARTHUR L.
navious y nousin	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J Detwiler	2173
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment who	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 June 2003</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):	•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-11 and 13-20.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.//
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		
	·	JOHN CABECA
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Application No.

Applicant's proposed amendment will be entered because it places the application in better form for appeal by canceling 3 independent claims (21-23) and amending a dependent claim (13) to fix a dependency error. Amended claim 13 would be rejected as previously stated in the Final Rejection mailed 10 March 2003.